G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03

191.

(Inwards)

File No. 136.

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the alleged misappropriation of Rs 257 odd by Parapathegar Varadaswamiengar of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Srirangapatam

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.
	359-17 — 1-7

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT :—

Misappropriation of Rs 257-3-2 by Parpatthegar Varahaswami Aiyangar of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 153 dated 29th December 1903 from the ~~Deputy~~ Commissioner, Mysore District.

24.5.1902 The Peshkar of the above temple reported that Kaingatha Venkatachar, Dharmadarsi of the above temple, who had undertaken to get the Kirita made had been dead, that his father-in-law Krishnaiyengar, Sheristadar at Chitaldrug had come to Seringapatam but had not handed over charge of the Kirita and other things and that Venkatachar, while alive had agreed to bear the cost of preparation of the Kirita.

23.6.1902 A Addl Srinivasaiyengar petitioned that Venkatachar had kept the money (Rs 257-3-2) in the iron safe at the temple and had given the key thereof to Parpatthegar Varahaswami Aiyangar but Varahaswami Aiyangar who was asked after the death of

Venkatachar said that the money had been taken away by Venkatachar while he alive.

The Peshkar of the temple to whom the above petition was sent for report stated that there was some misunderstanding between Addl. Srinivasaiyan and Varahaswamiyan, that Venkatachar had told him and Head Sirastachar that he had kept the money in the temple itself and further that everything would be elucidated after the accounts of Venkatachar were produced. 8.7.02

One Anonymous petition alleged to be from one Venkatarangiyan of Seringapatam stating that Rs 217-3-2 due to Venkatachar for forming a flower garden in the Compound of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Seringapatam had been made over by him (V.achar) for the Kirita under pressure by him (V.achar) for the Kirita under pressure by Varahaswamiyan and that it was subsequently reported to be missing, received in this office, was sent to the Deputy Commissioner for thorough enquiry and report. RC 276 d: 22.8.02.

Vene Rangaswamiyan from whom Varahaswamiyan had borrowed Rs 225 pawning some of his jewels, deposed before the Amildar that he took back the jewels paying principal Rs 225 and interest Rs 11 on 24.3.02. 4.9.02

Archak Srinivas Rangabhatta also deposed that V atachar had given him the key of the iron safe

two Ghatiges or one hour prior to his death and that it was with him since then but that he knew not whether any money had been kept therein or not

Parthasaran Varadaswamiengar who deposed before the Murasu officer on 4.9.02 stated that the key of the iron safe used to be with Venkatachari but as Ananth had not been coming in time the key of the outer door (ಹೊರ ಬಾಗಿಲು) used to be with him and that he had cleared the debt of Vera Rangaswamiengar out of the savings from his pay for 7 or 8 months and from the money due to him by Kyatanahalli Srinivasa Jois.

Sheristadar Krishnaiengar deposed before the Amildar on 8.9.02 that there was an entry in Venkatachari's own account in his own handwriting on 5.2.02 that Rs 217-3-2 received on account of forming the garden and Rs 40/- for digging the car had been placed in the iron safe in the temple.

"ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆ"

"ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕೀಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು"

Archak Srinivasa Rangabhatta sent a registered petition on 12.9.02 stating that when he gave his statement before the Amildar on 4.9.02 ~~the~~ he was in a confused state of mind and was afraid and that he had not received the key from Venkatachar.

On 28.9.02, Varadaswamiengar applied for 25 days leave with allowances on account of

some deaths in his family from Plague at Nellore.
The Avildar reported that he was in favor of recommending 29.9.02
the leave application of V. Anjaneyan as he had
already given him 24 days leave, as the Karita
Case had been pending enquiry and as reminders
were being received from the Dy Coll and the
Sub. Dy officer. The Sub Dy officer ordered the 29.10.02
recall of the man from leave and the completion
of the enquiry. V. Anjaneyan again applied for 13.11.02
leave till the end of November 1902 on account
of his own ill-health and some inconveniences
in his family. The Avildar reported that V. 28.11.02
Anjaneyan had repeatedly been applying for leave
and the enquiry into the case could not be completed.
The Sub Dy officer ordered that the man should
be served with summonses to appear. The Avildar 20.12.02.
having reported that Nagadi Gunnaiah Krishnappa
had been looking after the duties of the Parpatteyan
the Sub. Dy officer approved of the Avildar's
recommendation and suspended the Karapatteyan V. Anjaneyan 24.12.02.
V. Anjaneyan petitioned the Avildar that he would represent 9.1.03
his case either before the Sub. Dy officer or the Dy
Coll as he was afraid to say anything before the Avildar
and as Shri Krishnappa had been set upon entangling
him.
V. Anjaneyan deposed before the S.D. officer that 19.1.03
"ಪ್ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಪರ್ಪತ್ತೆಯಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ
ಆಯ್ತು ... ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿ ಪರ್ಪತ್ತೆಯಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ

"[illegible]" "[illegible]" "[illegible]" etc., that
Venkatachar had paid in his presence Rs 76 (as 7-1/2 [illegible] Hej
in his (Vachar) house to Goldsmith and obtained a
receipt therefor, that he had heard that the key
had been given to archek Srinivas Rangabhatta
a day previous to his death and that after his
death, the key was produced by the archek and
[illegible] prepared by the Peshkar. Krishnappa
28.2.03 deposed before the Amildar that the payment of
Rs 76-7-6 to Goldsmith had not been entered in
Venkatachars account book in his writing,
that the females told him that Rs 50 or 60 had been
spent for 2 days funeral ceremonies, that
he arrived only on the second day, that the balance
according to the deceased's account was
only Rs 25 and that the females told him that
there was some money in the polaka and in
a bag.

28.2.03 V. Anjaniya stated that Rs 76-7-6 for which
receipt had been produced by Krishnappa should
have been paid from Rs 257-3-2, that the funeral
expenses also should have been defrayed therefrom
and therefore the money should be made good
by Krishnappa.

In Venkatachars account book, there is an

Stamped receipt dtd: 17.2.02 was produced by Krishnappa

enquiry on 10.2.02 "[illegible]
not fully written up.

The Shiha book produced shows that the key used to be either with Perchak Srinivas Rangacha
bhatta or Venkatachar or Krishnamachar.

Addl Srinivasiengar petitioned that he should 4.6.03
be present at the enquiry of V. Ranganathan and
that he would prove the case.

The Sub. Dy officer reported to the Dy Comr 22.6.03
that Venkatachar was responsible for all the works
connected with the Kirita and that he alone should
be held responsible for the money.

The Deputy Commissioner states the facts of
the case and that the Sub Dy officer doubts if
the money was at all kept in the iron safe of
the temple and further that it is not temple
money but the deceased's.

Submitted

ks
4/3/04

Submitted to the Dewan.

The Complaint is that Peshkar
Varadachariar Rangachariar has appropriated
Rs 257-3-2 which Purohithar Venkatachar
had kept in the iron safe in the temple.
for utilizing it for a Kirita, Venkatachar
received this amount on the 5th Feb 1902

from the Seringapatam Treasury
thereby the amount due to them
for forming a flower garden near
the Temple He took ill on the 14th
Feb and died on the 20th. He entry
in his account-book in his own
hand-writing is said to stand as per that
the amount was kept in the Temple
iron safe through Varahasawmy Iyer
On the 17th the Venkatachar paid
Rs 76-7-6 on account of Gold bought
for Kirita and obtained a receipt.
The Key of the safe seems to have
been all along with Venkatachar
as stated in the Subordinate Officers report
to the Dy. Commr. The clerk Srinivas
- Rayabhatta at one time deposed
that he had received the Key from
Venkatachar about an hour before
his death, and but subsequently
denied it. Varahasawmy Iyengar
redeemed some of his jewels from
Venu Rayasawmy Iyengar by paying
him Rs 236 on the 24th March
and this circumstance by itself
is not sufficient to shew that this
money was the amount said to
have been kept in the iron-safe
by Venkatachar. The Peshkar on
the other hand has stated that
the he cleared the account of the
savings of his pay for the and
from the money due to them by
Kyatanhalli Jois. Besides the

money said to have been misappropriated was the private money of Venkatachar, which Venkatachar had entrusted to Varadarajan, to be invested, to use for a Kuri[illegible] [illegible] where he was employed. Both the Dy Commr and the Sub Dy officer appear therefore correct in their opinion that there is hardly any evidence to inculpate Varadarajan Iyengar in the matter. The complaint may therefore be rejected

1.4.04

16940/24.04

19021

I suppose it is clear that the money referred to did not belong to Iyengar and that it was the private property of Venkatachar. If it is so the present complaint may be rejected and further action dropped

31.V.04

Read 2/6/04

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

note cntd.

Orders were issued accordingly in govt bro. no 3932 dy 20-6-05 and the substance thereof was communicated to Veeraraghava Sreeninasiengar in Endt no 3597 dy 20-7-05.

x no 4664 dy 14/6/05.

In a petition presented to the Secretary ~~in Bangalore~~, he prays for a review of the above order on the ground that, in the cash Book maintained by ~~Sarpathegar~~ Dharmadarsi Venkatachar, an entry in his own handwriting goes to show that the amount in question was contributed by the devotees for utilizing it for a Kirita and as such, the ~~Seshkar~~ Sarpathegar Varahaswamiengar, has, as contended by him, misappropriated it. He adds that he may be ~~permitted~~ given a hearing to enable him to explain matters at full length.

Submtd. for orders

ks 29/6/05

The case was fully Enquired into and reported upon

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

by the Dy Comr and final orders were passed by the Govt reversing the Revd Commr's Order. In my humble opinion, an endorsement may be given stating that Govt decision is in favour of petitioner and the matter is closed.

366
29.6.05

7456
1.7.05

30/6/05

6.VII.05

Draft accepted 8/7/05

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No	of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-01

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No	of 190



1902

FROM A Ramanujengar Esqre
Offg Deputy Commr &
Collector Bangalore

To L. Ananta Sawmi Rao Esqre BA
Deputy Secretary to Govt
Muzrai Dept.

Dated
Despatched } 4th September 02
Received

General No. 33.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitted

Further report may be awaited

8/9/02

Reporting upon the alleged misappropriation of Rs 217 entrusted to the Parapathegar Varahaswami Iyengar of Seringapatam Ranganathaswamy temple.

Office of the Deputy Commr
Ellysore District.
Dated the 4th September '02

From.

M. Ramanujengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Ellysore District.

To.

L. Ananta Sawmi Row Esquire BA
Deputy Secretary to the Govt
of Ellysore. Mulgrai Depte.

Sir

With reference to the accompanying
Petition received with your docket-No :-
276/15-95 of the 22nd August '02, I have the honor
to report that the petition appears to be a
pseudonymous one in that there is no one
in Seringapatam of the name of Ellelook -
Ekkalee Rangiengar.

It is however true that Addika -
Sreenivasiengar of the Ranganatha Swami
temple has complained to the Amildar of
the misappropriation of the Sum of Rupees 217
by Panchalingam Varadaraswami Iyengar

The amildar has issued summons to the parties and witnesses concerned and fixed a date for hearing the complaint.

Paruphattegar Varahaswami Iyengar denies ever having been entrusted with the money as will be seen from the enclosed statement made by him this day before Assistant Commissioner, Mr. Sivagiri Road. in order to decide and the question whether he was so entrusted with the money, a number of persons residing in Chitaldroog and Hiriyur have to be examined. As soon as their examination is completed, I shall report the result of the enquiry and the action taken thereon.

The enclosed is a copy of the order issued in the meantime to the Amildar Sirungapatam.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient &c
H N Krishna Iyer
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
My 1912 District.

Question by Mr.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ ನಾವು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ 4-5-೦೨ ರಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಬೆಳೆ ಹಾಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಬೆಳೆ ಹಾಳಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಂತಹ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಬೆಳೆ ಹಾಳಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಮಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ

4.9.02.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date. 9-9-02
REG NO 420
BANGALORE

9/9/02

Submitted

Further report
may be awaited

9/9/02

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Remembrancer

MUZRAI

189

From

To The Dy Com^r
Mysore Dist^t

Dated

Despatched 6th March '03.

Received

General No. 1779
Muzrai F. No. 15-95
Enclosure No. —

His letter No 33 dated 4th September 1902 regarding the alleged misappropriation of a sum of Rs 217 entrusted to Varaha Swami Jungam Pattegar of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Shivanga-samudram.

—n—

Has the honour to draw his attention

to the above
and to request that
the submission of
the report therein
promised may be
expedited

By order

Dfc 5/3/03

Dy. Secretary
Mins. Dept.

Ds
4/3/03

190

From

24.8.08
802

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No.

Enclosure No.

Submitted for information

24/8/03

Answer expected

2/6/03

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Mazrai Department
Dated Mysore 21st March 1903

From

M. Kantharaje Urs Esqr B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqr B.A
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore Mazrai
Department.

Sir, In reply to your docket No 1779 dated 6th March 1903 regarding the alleged misappropriation of a sum of Rs 217 entrusted to Varahaswamiengar, Parpattegar of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam, I have the honor to state that no report has yet been received from the Amildar who has this day been directed to submit it at once. On receipt of his report a detailed report will be submitted. —

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Illegible
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

MUZRAI

19 —19

From The DEPUTY SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

To The Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore

Dated
Despatched } 30th May 03.
Received

General No. 2405 & 2406
Muzrai F. No. 15-95
Enclosure No. 1

His Letter No. 3903/1st
March 1903 to the address
mis-appropriation of Rs 217
entrusted to Varahaswamy Ca
Parapathegar of the Sri
Ranganatha Swamy temple
at Seeranga patam.

In continuation of the
above has the honour to
forward here with petition
No 19865 21st May 1903

M.G.C.P.B.—2,000—7-6-02

your Honourable the Board

the company of [illegible]

and I request that the

proposed Submission of the

report thereon in premises

may be expedited.

By Order

[illegible]

Secretary

Madras [illegible]

[illegible] 1853

Mus. 5.]

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPT

ನಂ. 24078/7

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ

ಸುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗನಾಥಸ್ವಾಮಿದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ
ಅಧಿಕಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ಯರು

ಗಂಡಪಾದನ ಮಗ
ಸದರಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪೂಜಾಕೈಂಕರ್ಯದ ವಂಶಸ್ಥರಾದ
ಮೈಲಂಗಟ್ಟಿಂ ಕಾಶಿಯ್ಯರು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿರುವ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿ
ಪತ್ರವು ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೇರೆ ಮೊನ್ನೆ

21 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1926 ನೇ ಸಂಬರ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು
ರಿಪೈರಂಡಮೆಬ್ಬ್ಯೂ ಮಸ್ರಹೂಲಾಕ್ಕಿರ್ತಿ

ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಇದೆ ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯ ದಿನ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯನ್ನು ಹಾಜರುಪಡಿಸಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಈ ತಾರೀಖು ನೇ ಮೇ ಮಾಹೆ 1926 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಸಹಿ

By Order,

10/6/03

Muzrai Secretary.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Mysore, 17th June 1 190

No 2483/1

Rec'd 18/6/03

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 18. 6. 03
REG. No. 95 1059
MUZRAI BRANCH

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred, for disposal --- to the, Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore in the Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

By Order,

No. 1437 dated 15-6-03.

P. Rajaraja Das Singh
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Avs.

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dt.

Dated 23 June 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 2580

Muzrai F. No. 15-95

Enclosure No.

I has the honor to
forward herewith an anonymous
Petition no 1437-95
105-9-2483
dy 15th June 1903 addressed
to H. H the Maharaja
complaining against
the Parpathegar Varahaswamie
Iyengar of the Sri Ranganatha-
thaswamy temple at
Srirangapatam and to
request the submission
of an early report thereon.

2. The Dy: Commr's
attention

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02

MUZRAI

1901

From Dy
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated
Despatched 26.12.03
Received
General No. 1833
Muzrai F. No. 1595
Enclosure No.

This Office docket No 240 Dy 30th May 1903 re the alleged misappropriation of Rs. 217 entrusted to Varahasw Parapatheyar of the S rathaswami temple Sreerangapatana and subsequent reminders marginally noted -

Has the honor to d a reference to the above request that the report called for may be subm without ~~any~~ further delay

B.O
11 24
Dy Secy

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

23/12/03

No 2580 Dy 23 6.03
1009 Dy 4.11.03



Rejected
ks
4/1/04

W/153.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Mysore Department

Dated 29th December 1903

To

C. Hayavadana Rao Esqr. B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government
of Mysore in the Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to your circular No 2580/15-95-
dated the 23rd June 1903 calling for certain
information regarding the conduct of Varadha
-Iyengar, Parapattegar of the Sri Ranganatha
Swami temple at Seringapatam, I have the
honour to transmit herewith the correspondence
ending with the French Rocks Inc Division Officer's
Yadi No 160 dated the 22nd June 1903. Kari
-yatha Venkatachar, late Dharmadarshi of
the Sri Ranganathaswami temple was entrusted
with the preparation of the Kireeta of Sri Ranga
-nathaswami. On the 5th of February 1902, he
received Rs 21-3-2 plus Rs 4-0-0 in all (Rs 25)-3-2
from the Taluk Treasury due to him for forming
a flower garden &c near the temple of Sri Ranga
nathaswami. He intended to use the money
in making the Kireeta, and seems to have
kept it in the iron-safe of the temple intended
for the Kireeta. He took ill on the 14th February
1902 and died on the 20th February 1902. Thereon
it was reported that the money had disappeared.

On

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Muzrai

Read:-

1. No. 1926 Dy 21.5.1903 from Adhyaka Sreenivasiengar of S. Patam.

2. No. 1059 Dy 15.6.1903, addressed DH. H. the Maharaja

3 [illegible]

The marginally noted petitions received complaining against Varahaswami Iyengar, Parpathegar of the Sri Ranganatha swami Temple at Sreerangapatam.

And,

Correspondence ending with letter No. 153, dated 29th December 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, reporting on the above. —— " ——

No. 3932 Dy 20.6.04.

Order thereon

The complaint is that Parpathegar Varahaswami Iyengar misappropriated a sum of Rs 257-3-2 which Dharmadarsi Venkatachar had kept in the iron-safe in the ~~the~~ Sri Ranganathaswami temple for utilizing it for a Kirita to the Utsava Vigraha.

2. From the records of enquiry submitted with the Dy Commr's letter read above, it is observed that Venkatachar received this amount viz Rs 257-3-2 on the 5th February 1902 from the Srirangapatam

Taluk Treasury, it being the amount due to him for the flower garden formed by him near the temple. ~~He died~~ He took ill on the 14th February 1902 and died on the 20th idem An entry in his account book in his own handwriting is said to state that the amount was kept in the temple iron-safe through Varahaswami Iyengar. On the 17th February 1902, Venkatachar paid Rs 76-7-6 on account of gold bought for Kuota and obtained a receipt therefor The key of the iron safe had been all along with Venkatachar as stated ~~by~~ in the Sub-Div Officer's Yadi ~~to~~ to the Deputy Commr Archak Srinivasa Ranga Bhatta at one time deposed that he had received the key from Venkatachar about an hour before his death, but subsequently denied it. Varaha-swami Iyengar redeemed some of his jewels from Veene Rangasami Iyengar by paying Rs 236/- on the 24th March 1902. But this circumstance by itself

is not sufficient to show that that money was the amount said to have been kept in the iron safe by Venkatachar. Varahaswamy Iyengar has on the other hand deposed that he cleared the debt out of the savings of his pay and from the money due to him by Kyatanahalli Jors. Besides, the money said to have been misappropriated was the private property of Venkatachar which the latter intended to use for a Kuuta and it is not clear where he was keeping it

2. Under these circs, the Govt. concur in the opinion of the Deputy Commr that there is hardly any evidence to inculpate Varahaswamy Iyengar. The complaint petitions are accordingly rejected.

Dewan's order
16. 6. '04

Offg Mug. Secretary

To
The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dt.
with 8 enclosures.

Reader